Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/885,610	ISHITANI, TAKASHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kristie Shingles	2141	
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>ABANDONED</u>		
(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.	(3)		
(2) John Penny, Atty. 36, 984.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 December 2006	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
Claims discussed: N/A			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	:	·	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner called to confirm the expiration for the period of reply. Atty confirmed the abandoned status with possible intent to revive the application.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		•	
•			
		•	
$\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} \bigcap$			
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			